

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/771,514	02/05/2004	Henry A. Luten III	3691-591	9423	
23117 7	7590 02/01/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	ANDERHYE, PC	ZIMMER, MARC S			
ARLINGTON	GLEBE ROAD, 11TH F ,  VA   22203	LOOK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1712		
			DATE MAILED: 02/01/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary			Application No. Applicant(s)					
		10/771,514	LUTEN, HENRY	LUTEN, HENRY A.				
		Γ	Examiner	Art Unit				
			Marc S. Zimmer	1712				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appe	ars on the cover sheet with	the correspondence a	ddress			
Wrild - Exte after - Ir NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm o period for reply is specified above, the maximum st are to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are ded patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA of 37 CFR 1.136 nunication. atutory period will will, by statute, of	TE OF THIS COMMUNICA i(a). In no event, however, may a repl I apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status								
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>05 Fel</i>	oruary 2004.					
2a)								
3)								
, ====	closed in accordance with the practi		•	•				
Disposit	ion of Claims			*				
4)[🔀]	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the a	application						
نت. ۲۰	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a		n from consideration.					
5) 🗀	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1.3.5-12 and 14-16</u> is/are r	ejected.						
	Claim(s) <u>2.4,13 and 15</u> is/are object	-						
	Claim(s) are subject to restrict		election requirement.					
Auplicat	ion Papers				·			
	The specification is objected to by th	a Evaminar		·				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:			the Eveniner	٠.			
10/	Applicant may not request that any obje							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including				CED 1 121(d)			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to				• •			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority							
	2. Certified copies of the priority		·					
	3. Copies of the certified copies	•		ceived in this Nationa	al Stage			
	application from the Internation		• • • •		,			
^ ``	See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list o	t the certified copies not re	ceivea.				
			•	:				
Attachmer	t(s)							
	ee of References Cited (PTO-892)			nmary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or			Mail Date rmal Patent Application (P	TO-152)			
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>04/01/04</u> .	. 10/00/00/	6) 🗌 Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/771,514

Art Unit: 1712

### Claim Objections

Claim 15 is objected to for its awkward wording. In particular, the claim is constructed in such a fashion that it appears that Applicant is suggesting that trimethylchlorosilane is an embodiment of the fluoroalkylsilane. In the context of the broader disclosure, it is understood that the subject matter of claim 15 is intended to encompass those processes where a capping layer containing both of a fluoroalkylsilane and trimethylchlorosilane is laid down over the layer derived from methyltrichlorosilane and dimethyldichlorosilane. Clarification is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3, 5-12, and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Murphy et al., U.S. patent # 6,743,516.

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in

Application/Control Number: 10/771,514

Art Unit: 1712

the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

See column 4, lines 44-48, Examples XVII through XX and claim 24.

#### **Double Patenting**

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claim 24 of U. S. Patent No. 6,743,516 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter. The subject matter of claims 1 and 3 fully encompasses that of claim 24.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2, 4, and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The reference does not appear to contemplate forming an interlayer between the silica layer and the layer derived from methyltrichlorosilane and dimethyldichlorosilane nor does the prior art motivate one to modify the processes/articles of manufacture taught by *Murphy* in this manner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc S. Zimmer whose telephone number is 571-272-1096. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00-4:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/771,514

Art Unit: 1712

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

January 24, 2006

MARC S. ZIMMER PRIMARY EXAMINER